

### **Environmental Assessment Checklist Instructions:**

Evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposed activity on the character, features, and resources of the project area. Provide a narrative explanation and list of supporting documentation. **The narrative must explain decision-making and compliance procedures**. Attach all supporting documentation to this worksheet. For technical assistance, see HUD's <u>Environmental Assessment Factors Guidance</u>.

### **Environmental Assessment Checklist**

| Land Development  |                       |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| Impact Category   | Impact Code           | Explanation and List of Source Documentation  |  |
| Conformance with Plans /<br>Compatible Land Use and<br>Zoning / Scale and Urban<br>Design | No Impact Anticipated | Restoration of the building facades will comply with local designs standards and SHPO guidelines  |  |
| Soil Suitability / Slope /<br>Erosion / Drainage / Storm<br>Water Runoff                  | No Impact Anticipated | No change to the current footprint so no change in the current runoff or drainage   |  |
| Hazards and Nuisances<br>Including Site Safety and<br>Noise                               | No Impact Anticipated | Normal construction safety compliance guidelines will be observed during construction. During operations typical noise levels are expected that will comply with any local noise and nuisance guidelines. |  |
| Energy Consumption  | No Impact Anticipated | After renovation energy consumption will be typical of normal retail and service businesses. No expected excess.  |  |

### **Environmental Assessment Checklist**

| Socioeconomic                                  |                        |  |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Impact Category                                | Impact Code            | Explanation and List of Source Documentation   |
| Employment and Income<br>Patterns              | Potentially Beneficial | Repurposing of these buildings will provide some local employment opportunities.                 |
| Demographic Character<br>Changes, Displacement | No Impact Anticipated  | Project will not change the demographic makeup of the area or displace any residents or workers. |

| Community Facilities and Services      |                        |   |  |
|--|------------------------|---|--|
| Impact Category                        | Impact Code            | Explanation and List of Source Documentation  |  |
| Educational and Cultural<br>Facilities | Potentially Beneficial | The project will provide support for the local historic and river tourism of the area.  |  |
| Commercial Facilities                  | Potentially Beneficial | The project will add to the commercial health of the downtown area by providing additional services and retail opportunities.                 |  |
| Health Care and Social<br>Services     | No Impact Anticipated  | No negative impact to health care or social services. Proximity to healthcare, medical services and social services.                          |  |
| Solid Waste Disposal /<br>Recycling    | No Impact Anticipated  | Very limited impact. Project will create some additional refuse during construction then normal trash and recycling when operations commence. |  |
| Waste Water / Sanitary<br>Sewers       | No Impact Anticipated  | No impact   |  |
| Water Supply                           | No Impact Anticipated  | No impact   |  |

## **Environmental Assessment Checklist**

| Community Facilities and Services                  |                        |  |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Impact Category                                    | Impact Code            | Explanation and List of Source Documentation   |
| Public Safety – Police, Fire and Emergency Medical | No Impact Anticipated  | The project will not significantly add to the demand of any emergency services. Ripley is covered by local fire & EMS. |
| Parks, Open Space and<br>Recreation                | No Impact Anticipated  | The project will provide for support of the local historic and river tourism trade.                                    |
| Transportation and Accessibility                   | Potentially Beneficial | Accessibility to some services and retail will be improved by the project.   |

| Natural Features                            |                        |   |  |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| Impact Category                             | Impact Code            | Explanation and List of Source Documentation  |  |
| Unique Natural Features,<br>Water Resources | Potentially Beneficial | The project will support local river recreational traffic   |  |
| Vegetation and Wildlife                     | No Impact Anticipated  | No impact at all. The project is located in a downtown area with limited vegetation and wildlife. |  |
| Other Factors                               | No Impact Anticipated  |   |  |



# 24 CFR Section 58.6 Requirements

| Airport Runway Clear Zones and Clear Zones Notification [24 C.F.R. Part 51.303(a)(3)]  |
|--|
| Does the project involve the sale or acquisition of property located within a Civil Airport Runway Clear Zone or a Military Airfield Clear Zone?   |
| No. Attach Source Document: (Project complies with 24 CFR 51.303(a)(3).)   |
| Yes. <b>Notice must be provided to buyer</b> . The notice must advise the buyer that the property is in a Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone, what the implications of such a location are, and that there is a possibility that the property may, at a later date, be acquired by the airport operator. The buyer must sign a statement acknowledging receipt of this information. (for a sample notice, see the |



## Statement of Process and Status of Environmental Analysis

#### Instructions:

Provide a brief description of the administrative procedures associated with the construction and presentation of the environmental review record (ERR). List the Responsible Entity, Certifying Officer, the physical location of the ERR, the dates and comment periods associated with any public notices, and contact information for the submission of comments regarding the ERR.

The funding source for these projects requires that an Environmental Review Record, consistent with the requirements of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations be prepared. This Environmental Review was completed on January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 by Kelly Cole. The President of the Brown County Board of Commissioners, Daryll R. Gray, is the certifying officer and assumes full responsibility for the accuracy and completeeness of the record, which is public and open for review in the Brown County Commissioners Office at 800 Mt. Orab Pike, Georgetown, Ohio 45121 and appears, per new COVID 19 policy, on the Brown County Commissioners website.. The County will publish a "Combined Notice to the public of a Finding of No Significant Impact to the environment (FONSI) and a "Notice of Intent to Request a Release of Funds" (NOI/RROF) on January the 24<sup>th</sup>, <sup>2021</sup>. The "Request for Release of Funds will be submitted after the local comment period which ends on February9th, 2021 the at close of business. The environmental review process is anticipated to be complete after the State comment period ending on or shortly after February 26<sup>th</sup>, 2021.



## **Description of the Site and Environmental Context**

#### Instructions:

Determine existing conditions and describe the character, features, and resources of the project area and its surroundings. Identify the trends that are likely to continue in the absence of the project.

The VIllage of Ripleys' downtown has been in a declining state for several years and local leadership has begun efforts to reverse this trend. There are currently many former retail establishments that are vacant and the push is on to revamp and revitalize the downtown a section at a time. South Main is the current target with 2 Main being under new ownership and 4 Main having renewed interest from its ownership to restore these buildings to usefulness. Two other buildings in this section are experiencing small private renovation projects in order to get them servicable as well. Without the grant funding to offset the renovation costs it is doubtful that either the project (2 or 4 Main) would be going forward at this time and the continuance of decline would likely continue.



## **Analysis of Alternatives**

#### Instructions:

Examine alternatives to the project, including the alternative of no action.

There are really only two alternatives when examining the life of these buildings: Further decline or renovation. Renovation was chosen as there are only positive things that can occur when buildings are brought back to usefulness and only negative things that stem from continued neglect.



## **Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation Actions**

### Instructions:

Summarize and evaluate all potential environmental impacts, whether beneficial or adverse, and the conditions that would change as a result of the project. Describe measures to eliminate, minimize, or mitigate adverse environmental impacts.

There are no real negative environmental impacts related to the renovations. By halting the disrepair of these buildings the negative impact stops. Reforming derilict structures lessens negative environmental impact.



# **Monitoring and Enforcement Procedures**

| Instructions: Describe any post-review monitoring or enforcement procedures ass mitigation actions. | ociated with environmental |
|---|----------------------------|
| None  |                            |
|   |                            |
|   |                            |
|   |                            |
|   |                            |



# List of Sources, Agencies, and Persons Consulted

| Phil White, Village of Ripley Administrator           |   |
|---|---|
| Jane Zachman, Village of Ripley resident and activist |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   | · |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |



# **List of Site Visits and Important Meetings**

| Date   | Participants   | Description  |
|--|--|--|
| 8/28/2020  | Phil White   | Administrator  |
|  | Gary Bailey  | Owner  |
|  | David Campbell   | Owner  |
| and reserve<br>1900 to 100   | Jane Zachman   | Resident & Activist  |
| March ententing<br>1 A Comment of the Comment<br>1 A Comment of the Co | Dallas Kratzer   | Mayor  |
|  | Kelly Cole   | Brown County Development   |
|  | 1 ( 1 ( 1 ) )<br>1 ( 1 ) ( 1 )   |  |
| un receptor de la companya de la com     | 10 (10 (10 m) 10 m |  |
|  | 200 A  | Note that the second of the se |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  | William .  |  |
| n yn erd wene<br>1 yn y Sill I   |  |  |
|  | (1907)<br>Sec. 3   |  |
|  | sy manna<br>Marking  |  |
|  |  | interces<br>De North   |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| A STATE OF THE STA     |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



# Participants in the Review

| Name  | Title  | Organization   |
|---|--|--|
| Jane Zachman  | Resident   | And the second s |
| Phil White  | Administrator  | Village of Ripley  |
|   |  |  |
| Racial  |  | Market Ma |
| (A) (C) (C) (M)<br>(A) (C) (C) (C)<br>(A) (A) (C) (C) (C) |  |  |
| 10.00 mg  |  | A service of the serv |
| one same<br>Laur 190                                      |  | 1997 T. S.   |
| 70.5 (A) 8<br>- (A) 7 (A)                                 |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 -                   |  |  |
| <b>201</b>  |  | 20 TV 178<br>4 SAAL  |
| - 1987   1988<br>- 1988   1988<br>- 1988   1988           | W. Harris  | Section 19   |
|   | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1   |  |
|   | Section 1 and 1 an | #1 10 13<br>12 14 19   |
| ## ## 20 00#<br>50 0 0 0 4<br>50 0 0 0 0 4                |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Astronas<br>Lucidos                                       |  | State of the State |
|   |  | #1927 (2017)<br>2017 (2017)<br>2017 (2017)   |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |